

Problem 3: Screened point charge**(5 points)**

As discussed in the lecture, electrostatic response can be expressed in terms of three potentials $\delta\varphi_{\text{ext}}(\vec{r})$, $\delta\varphi_{\text{ind}}(\vec{r})$, and $\delta\varphi(\vec{r})$. $\delta\varphi_{\text{ext}}$ is provided “from outside“, $\delta\varphi_{\text{ind}}$ constitutes the system’s response, and $\delta\varphi = \delta\varphi_{\text{ext}} + \delta\varphi_{\text{ind}}$ is the resulting, “total“ potential. The charge-density response $\delta\rho_{\text{ind}}(\vec{r})$ can be expressed as $\delta\rho_{\text{ind}} = \chi_0 \circ \delta\varphi$ (with charge susceptibility χ_0), resulting in $\delta\varphi_{\text{ind}} = V \circ \delta\rho_{\text{ind}}$ (with Coulomb interaction $V(\vec{r} - \vec{r}') = \frac{1}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|}$).

For a homogeneous system, Fourier transform

$$f(\vec{r}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int f(\vec{q}) e^{i\vec{q}\vec{r}} d^3q, \quad f(\vec{q}) = \int f(\vec{r}) e^{-i\vec{q}\vec{r}} d^3r$$

turns the convolutions into multiplications, i.e.

$$\delta\varphi_{\text{ind}}(\vec{q}) = V(\vec{q}) \cdot \chi_0(\vec{q}) \cdot \delta\varphi(\vec{q}).$$

By defining $\varepsilon(\vec{r}, \vec{r}')$ such that $\varepsilon \circ \delta\varphi = \delta\varphi_{\text{ext}}$ one arrives at $\varepsilon = \delta(\vec{r} - \vec{r}') - V \circ \chi_0$ (or, for a homogeneous system, $\varepsilon(\vec{q}) = 1 - V(\vec{q}) \cdot \chi_0(\vec{q})$).

Alternatively, the charge-density response can be expressed as $\delta\rho_{\text{ind}} = \chi \circ \delta\varphi_{\text{ext}}$ (with $\chi \neq \chi_0!$), finally resulting (for a homogeneous system) in $\frac{1}{\varepsilon(\vec{q})} = 1 + V(\vec{q})\chi(\vec{q})$.

The static dielectric function of a homogeneous, infinitely large semiconductor may be given by

$$\varepsilon(q) = 1 + \frac{1}{(\varepsilon_0 - 1)^{-1} + q^2/\bar{q}^2}$$

with $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon(q = 0)$ the static dielectric constant and \bar{q} being some characteristic wave number (e.g., q_{TF} in a metal). Consider an external point charge Q at $\vec{r} = 0$ (e.g. due to a defect atom), resulting in $\delta\varphi_{\text{ext}}(\vec{r}) = \frac{Q}{r}$.

- Calculate and plot the induced charge density $\delta\rho_{\text{ind}}(\vec{r})$. Show that the entire induced charge amounts to $(\varepsilon_0^{-1} - 1)Q$.
- Calculate and plot $\delta\varphi_{\text{ind}}(\vec{r})$ and $\delta\varphi(\vec{r})$. Show that for $r \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\delta\varphi(\vec{r}) \rightarrow \frac{Q}{\varepsilon_0 r}.$$

- What do you get for a simple metal?

Problem 4: Commutator relations for fermions**(3 points)**

- The anticommutator of the operators \hat{A} and \hat{B} is given by

$$[\hat{A}, \hat{B}]_+ = \hat{A}\hat{B} + \hat{B}\hat{A}.$$

Show that the following relation holds for an additional operator \hat{D}

$$[\hat{A}, \hat{B}\hat{D}]_- = [\hat{A}, \hat{B}]_+ \hat{D} - \hat{B}[\hat{A}, \hat{D}]_+.$$

- b) The creation and annihilation operators \hat{c}_j^+ and c_j have been introduced in the lecture. Use the anticommutator relations of these operators to calculate the following commutator $[\hat{A}, \hat{B}]_- = \hat{A}\hat{B} - \hat{B}\hat{A}$

i)

$$[\hat{n}_j, \hat{c}_k]_- \quad \text{and} \quad [\hat{n}_j, \hat{c}_k^+]_- \quad \text{with} \quad \hat{n}_j = \hat{c}_j^+ \hat{c}_j .$$

ii)

$$[\hat{c}_i^+ \hat{c}_j, \hat{c}_l^+ \hat{c}_m]_- = \alpha \cdot \hat{c}_i^+ \hat{c}_m + \beta \hat{c}_l^+ \hat{c}_j .$$

Calculate α and β .

iii)

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{c}_i^+ \hat{c}_j \hat{c}_l^+ \hat{c}_m, \hat{c}_n^+ \hat{c}_p]_- &= (\alpha \cdot \hat{c}_i^+ \hat{c}_p + \beta \cdot \hat{c}_n^+ \hat{c}_j) \hat{c}_l^+ \hat{c}_m \\ &+ \hat{c}_i^+ \hat{c}_j (\gamma \cdot \hat{c}_l^+ \hat{c}_p + \zeta \cdot \hat{c}_n^+ \hat{c}_m) . \end{aligned}$$

Calculate α , β , γ and ζ .

Useful relation:

$$[\hat{A}\hat{B}, \hat{D}]_- = [\hat{A}, \hat{D}]_- \hat{B} + \hat{A}[\hat{B}, \hat{D}]_- .$$

Problem 5: Expectation values for fermions

(2 points)

The eigenstates of the Hamilton operator

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_j \hat{c}_j^+ \hat{c}_j \quad \text{have the form} \quad |\phi\rangle = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (\hat{c}_j^+)^{n_j} |0\rangle .$$

- a) Calculate $\hat{n}_l |\phi\rangle$ with $\hat{n}_l = \hat{c}_l^+ \hat{c}_l$.

- b) Determine the expectation values

a) $\langle \phi | \hat{c}_l^+ \hat{c}_m | \phi \rangle ,$

b) $\langle \phi | \hat{c}_i^+ \hat{c}_l^+ \hat{c}_k \hat{c}_m | \phi \rangle .$

Use the occupation numbers n_k and n_m to represent your result.

Problem 6: Two-level system

(3 points)

The Hamilton operator of a system with two spin degenerate energy levels ε_a and ε_b has the form

$$\hat{H} = \varepsilon_a \left(\hat{c}_{a\uparrow}^+ \hat{c}_{a\uparrow} + \hat{c}_{a\downarrow}^+ \hat{c}_{a\downarrow} \right) + \varepsilon_b \left(\hat{c}_{b\uparrow}^+ \hat{c}_{b\uparrow} + \hat{c}_{b\downarrow}^+ \hat{c}_{b\downarrow} \right) .$$

- a) Show that the state $|\phi_1\rangle = \hat{c}_{a\uparrow}^+ \hat{c}_{b\uparrow}^+ |0\rangle$ is an eigenstate of the system. Which energy has the system in this state?

- b) Show that the state $|\phi_2\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\hat{c}_{a\uparrow}^+ + \hat{c}_{a\downarrow}^+ \right) \hat{c}_{b\uparrow}^+ |0\rangle$ is normalized. Is $|\phi_2\rangle$ an eigenstate of the system?

- c) Calculate for $|\phi_1\rangle$ and $|\phi_2\rangle$, respectively, the expectation values for the spin operators

$$\hat{S}_z = \frac{\hbar}{2} \sum_j \left(\hat{c}_{j\uparrow}^+ c_{j\uparrow} - \hat{c}_{j\downarrow}^+ \hat{c}_{j\downarrow} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{S}_x = \frac{\hbar}{2} \sum_j \left(\hat{c}_{j\uparrow}^+ \hat{c}_{j\downarrow} + \hat{c}_{j\downarrow}^+ c_{j\uparrow} \right) \quad \text{with} \quad j = a, b .$$